

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,991	01/14/2004	Cressie E. Holcombe	25645-30	3721
7590 01/03/2006		EXAMINER		
Joseph T. Guy, Ph.D.			MIGGINS, MICHAEL C	
Nexsen Pruet Adams Kleemeier,LLC PO Drawer 10648			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Greenville, SC	Greenville, SC 29603-0648			
			DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/756,991	HOLCOMBE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael C. Miggins	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 O	ctober 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,—	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the l	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati	on No				
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/25/05.		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

REJECTIONS WITHDRAWN

1. All of the rejections set forth in the non-final rejection of 7/6/05, pages 3-4, paragraphs 3-6 have been withdrawn.

REJECTIONS REPEATED

2. There are no rejections repeated.

NEW REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the thickness" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the thickness" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/756,991 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taylor (US 3058809).

Taylor discloses a graphite capsule/vessel for producing boron nitride which has a cross-sectional area that allows reaction contents to be uniformly heated in order to yield boron nitride in a uniform consistency of crystallinity and purity and allows the reaction gaseous products to readily evolve (since the diameter is 4 inches and the length is 11 inches and the crucible has an opening, column 8, lines 39-49), where the cross-sectional area is in the range of 10 to 115 sq. inches (since the cross sectional area will be 44 sq. inches, column 8, lines 39-49) (applies to instant claims 1 and 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor (US 3058809).

With regard to claims 2-3 which recite a thickness, it has been found that finding the optimum workable range or value for a result effective variable, absent clear and convincing evidence of an unexpected result, is obvious and well within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art (MPEP 2144). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to have provided the recited thickness in order to provide lower materials and costs without destroying the vessel.

Page 4

Claims 4-5 recite a size for the opening. Taylor discloses a size of ½ an inch for the opening. It has been found that finding the optimum workable range or value for a result effective variable, absent clear and convincing evidence of an unexpected result, is obvious and well within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art (MPEP 2144). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to have provided the recited size for the opening in order to allow easier evolution of gasses, ease in temperature readings and ease of using the plunger.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

11. Applicant's arguments filed 10/7/05 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds for rejection set forth above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Miggins whose telephone number is 571-272-1494. The examiner can normally be reached on 1:00-10:00pm.

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Miggins Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 1772

MCM

December 26, 2005